

13:47:44 From Jack Alpert www@skil.org to Everyone:

C: This is wonderful. I have to leave, unfortunately, but I will greatly enjoy listening to the recording. Thank you for presenting.

13:50:14 From Claude Buettner, MN to Everyone:

Q: Could you extrapolate your work on the COVID pandemic and the economic meltdown and apply your list of ten similarities to the lack of planning for the Unraveling Management that will most certainly be needed?

13:55:05 From Michael Gilbertson to Everyone:

Q: I gave a CACOR talk on February 21, 2024, concerning the epidemics/pandemics of diseases and conditions caused by endocrine disrupting chemicals. These annually cost \$340 billion in the US. Public Health Agency of Canada does not even recognize these pandemics and has no competence in this area. The governments are completely disconnected from the issue. How can we get governments to respond to the copious epidemiological, mechanistic, and toxicological evidence?

14:07:37 From Michael Gilbertson to Everyone:

Q: Is it useful to look at the political ideologies that inform ministers and governments?

14:07:52 From Jon Legg to Everyone:

Q: The health of the insurance sector seems important when considering how we can best prepare for pandemics, but how does our government ensure that some of the planning you recommend is actually done?

14:15:29 From Art Hunter to Everyone:

Q: Have any of your recommendations been accepted by the Government of Canada?

14:15:42 From Tom Dougherty to Everyone:

Q: How does pandemic planning compare to planning for the societal collapse that may well be approaching as climate change becomes fierce and biodiversity loss intensifies?

14:16:01 From Jean Dougherty to Everyone:

Q: You pointed out that the Ontario government's analysis of SARS1 crisis had not been followed for very long. Selective memory? Also, SARS1 occurred at least two election cycles before COVID19. How do you suggest we get government organizations to have continuity in pandemic planning over multiple election cycles as well as multiple levels of government?

14:19:35 From Tom Dougherty to Everyone:

Q: Was poor communication to the populace of what would be done when a pandemic hits the worst failure of public health authorities during SARS2?

14:22:40 From John Hollins to Everyone:

C: Parallel observations from the domain of the natural environment. Between the Montreal Protocol in 1988 and the Kyoto Protocol in 1992, the role of expertise in government changed substantially. A

report last week showed that Canada is failing to hit its own targets in the UNFCCC.

14:30:00 From Anitra Thorhaug to Everyone:

In Canada, support was given so people could afford not to go to work. In the USA and many other nations, there was not the underpinning wealth available to support the population so that the question of who is an essential worker and how do they make their work non-infectious. The problem was that many schools were shut, probably for the detriment of students. Only some did lessons by distance. This prevails even today.

14:30:33 From Samrat Bharadwaj to Everyone:

Thank you, David Longworth. Thanks, CACOR for the presentation.

14:33:21 From Claude Buettner, MN to Everyone:

Replying to "Question: Could you ..."

A follow-up link, Adam Fenech spoke to CACOR a couple years ago and shared his assessment that most people who die during a global high-mortality event will die of opportunistic disease or pandemic after months of malnutrition due to global food scarcity/ falling per capita food production: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pKDjjX4v6Ds>