

Just published in COSMOPOLIS.org

These are the conclusions in a recent paper by Dr Nicole Morgan, CACOR member. She said to other members that “[they explain] clearly (I hope) why I have for decades studied the heating up of the political planet, within the context of climate change which we (the inner group to which I have had the privilege to belong) have known for some times is going to be 1) more rapid projected, 2) more spotty, and 3) far more chaotic making very difficult for agriculture to plan ahead. If I focused on the US, it is for the obvious reason [that] it gave the impetus to the dismantlement of the modern state as we have known it in modern political philosophy.”

She went on to say, “I will not stress enough that we have entered a geopolitical period which cannot be analysed through our classic models, not even spatial-demographic ones. The new barbarians have developed technologies which are crushing time opening their looting to instant reward. To make it plain, the future we are talking about is not even in the radar. We are no longer in cycle, but in vortex.”

Here it is—a special thanks to google translate and spell checker which has allowed me to reach out big time.

<https://www.cosmopolis-rev.org/articles-2/american-exceptionalism-and-the-un-convention-on-the-rights-of-the-child>

American exceptionalism: The US non ratification of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Nicole Morgan

PhD en philosophie politique, anthropologue. L’auteur a publié sa thèse sur les origines de l’Etat moderne, ainsi que de nombreuses publications sur son effritement et les idéologies contemporaines qui tentent de légiférer un bien commun global en l’absence d’un gouvernement global.

EXCERPT / CONCLUSION

In the long history of humanity, the perverse virtual couple formed by Donald Trump and Ayn Rand are certainly not the first. If interested in this pathology of power (in real time and space), one can do research on [the lives and execution of] the couple Nicolae and Elena Ceaușescu.

However, let’s stop here on what has become a voyeurism of the perversions of power. If it is true that the evil virtual couple formed by Donald Trump and Ayn Rand are not the first, they may well be the last, not because humanity will gradually come out of its follies—rather, because humanity risks disappearing at least a good part of humanity, children first.

For entrepreneurs who want their hands free to sack the planet, any law protecting the environment, and therefore guaranteeing the survival of future generations, is a hindrance to growth. They see in ecologists, experts, and other scientists, parasites who propagate false information for their own benefit. In a 60-minute interview, Donald Trump loudly proclaims, "Look, these scientists have a political agenda. There is no environmental crisis, he says, offering as the only proof his brilliant sixth sense which makes him "smells" all these crooks-beggars, scientists in mind. However, here is the

reason which guarantees him many votes. He says it frankly: I don't want to lose millions and millions of votes I don't want to be put in a bad position (not to be re-elected).

Ayn Rand, who founded philosophically the ideology of greed, appears to have been more rational, but on the surface of the arguments. Before dying, she had the time to take on the defenders of ecology and the environment who asked the State to assume ownership of the exploitation of its resources. She saw it as a manipulation of governments, intended to reduce freedoms and to pour into emotion at the expense of reason. In *Against Environmentalism*, she considered that ecology was a return of the religious and the irrational, while she saw only technical progress can improve the human condition. "Urban smog and polluted rivers," she wrote, "are not good for men (although they are not as dangerous as fearmongers). It is a scientific and technological problem—not a political problem—and it will only be solved using technology, and even if smog were a risk to human life, it must always be remembered that life in its natural state, without technology, is a death sentence." However, the conclusion is the same. Donald Trump was a president who took all the powers. He systematically abolished the laws and regulations already in place to protect the planet. He shut down all research on the greenhouse effect. When Donald Trump was asked when price for his policies, he shrugged and said: This is their problem, I do not care. In twenty years, I will be dead.

We will never repeat enough: money always takes precedence over the child. We use money and not the term capitalism, overused and politicized to the point of no longer meaning much. This international monetary exchange holds mafia exchanges, a theme to be developed if one wishes to analyze the international situation. *Laissez faire* is *laissez mourir*—children first. However, there is something even more serious.

In the background of Trump's speech, which resonates in other speeches in other countries that one should listen carefully, there is no much any [sic] longer denial of the environmental crisis to be replaced by an idea which smacks social Darwinism. The acceleration of global warming is on the verge of an unconscious desire for *laissez-mourir*. It can only help the rich countries to get rid of the teeming populations of parasitic children for whom they cannot and will not care. Denial is not climate denial. It is the denial that the elite of humanity is in danger, like the masses. The wealthiest, those in Silicon Valley especially, think they will be able to immigrate to regions, distant countries on pure islands or on Mars. It was the same madness that, when atomic fear was in full swing, had underground shelters built for those who only believed in individual rescue.

The United States of America is abandoning the future. Only instant rewards count. The USA is abandoning humanity's future generation.